

DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group - Meeting 12

02 October 2023 at 15:00

Location/ Web-Conference/Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Emily Waters (EW)	BU-UK
Richard Ellis (RE)	National Grid
Peter Waymont(PW)	UKPN
Dave Wornell(DW)	National Grid
Jaquie Barton (JB)	National Grid
Sally Musaka (SM)	SSE
Code administrator	
Andy Green [AG]	ElectraLink
John Lawton (JL)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Kara Burke	NPg
John Proser	TSG
Amy Tyler	Smartest Energy

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Stakeholder Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Stakeholder Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference
- 1.2 The actions from this Stakeholder Group have been captured in an action log. The action log can be found at [appendix 1](#).

2. Purpose of the Meeting / Timeline for Delivery

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review and discuss feedback provided by the RECCo on the drafted DCUSA legal text for MHHS consequential change as well as a brief overview of DCP 424, as this change will require some future consequential changes, mainly due to the removal of measurement classes.

3. Review RECCo feedback.

- 3.1 JL began to take the Stakeholder Group through his responses to the queries posed by the RECCo on the Stakeholder Groups drafted legal text. A summary of these queries and the DCUSA responses can be found within **Attachment 1 DCUSA MHHS Transition RECCo Responses**.
- 3.2 JL noted that the initial comment raised by the RECCo was in relation to footnotes 1 and 2 stating that they are subject to change to be consistent with the REC.
- 3.3 The RECCo stated that they assumed this was just a placeholder and will be removed once the interface drafting is complete. However, in the meantime they asked could the Stakeholder remove reference to the REC and change to Energy Market Data Spec as these flows will be DCUSA owned so not subject to REC governance.
- 3.4 It was agreed that RECCo were correct, so the footnotes were updated. It was also noted that there were references throughout the DCUSA changes that would also need to be updated as a consequence to the updating the references to the RECCo.
- 3.5 The Next discussion point was in relation to the definition for Data Services Agent and whether this was this consistent with the BSC. The RECCos Stated that they didn’t think the MDS came under the definition of a Data Service Agent.
- 3.6 JL had provided the Stakeholder Group with two potential solutions which were;
 - leave as is for the consultation or
 - amend before the consultation by deleting the reference to Market-wide Data Service Agent from the definition and amending clause 21.2.1 by adding in Market-wide Data Service Agent.
- 3.7 The Stakeholder Group agreed to progress with the second suggestion of amending the text before the consultation was issued as it was an easy solution to implement and meant that there wouldn’t be a future issue with any other code.
- 3.8 The RECCo had highlighted some minor typographical errors, mainly the incorrect use of full stops for the definitions for DIP ID and Market Participant ID. The DCUSA HMMS stakeholder Group agreed with these suggestions and made the changes.

- 3.9 The definition for DUoS Tariff ID was updated from 'MHHS Interface specification' to 'Energy Market Data Specification' as MHHS Interface specification was not an enduring term.
- 3.10 The above also applied to the MHHS definition and Connection Type.
- 3.11 Within the Supercustomer DUoS Report definition, the RECCo quired if the use of the word 'customers' was the right term or are these split by MHHS / non MHHS Metering Point.
- 3.12 It was agreed within the Stakeholder Group to remove 'customers' and replace with Metering Points as references throughout the DCSUA document already mentioned Metering Points. It was also noted that it will be the Metering Points that will migrate rather than the customer.
- 3.13 The Annual Consumption definition was updated to refer to the EMDS where the data items will be defined.
- 3.14 The RECCo stated that there was still a lot of duplication between schedule 32 and the BSC provisions in BSCP705 and asked if issues with dual governance had been resolved?
- 3.15 It was agreed that as the DCUSA owned the disconnection process, any duplication would be required to be made within the other relevant codes.
- 3.16 It was agreed within paragraph 4.28 in schedule 33, to remove 'required by' and change it to "in accordance with" as there isn't a mandatory requirement within paragraph 4.28, just an option to raise a query.
- 3.17 It was noted that there were other areas within schedule 33 that would need to be updated as per the above.
- 3.18 That concluded the review of the feedback from the RECCo on the draft consequential MHHS DCUSA text.
- 3.19 JL agree to update the legal text to cater for what the Stakeholder Group had discussed during the meeting.

4. Update of DCP 424

- 4.1 The Stakeholder Group then moved on to a brief update on DCP 424 'Use of System charging for Complex Sites'.
- 4.2 The Chair explained that DCP 424 was seeking to ensure the correct DUoS is paid by meters that are Measurement class F or G within a Class 5 or Class 6 Complex Site as described in BSC Modification P441.
- 4.3 The Chair advised that there would be a future MHHS consequential change required post this DCP being implement due to the removal of measure classes as part of the MHHS programme.
- 4.4 The Chair explained that it has been agreed that the MHHS Stakeholder Group would be the best forum to facility the solution for the subsequent consequential change and that the Working Group for DCP 424 would focus on the solution pre MHHS.
- 4.5 It was highlighted that the DCUSA change was a consequence of a change within the BSC (P441) and that there'd also been a subsequent change required within the RECCo to facilitate P441 (RO133).
- 4.6 The Chair also informed the Stakeholder Group that a request from the Authority has stated that they would like the three cross code consultations to be issued at the same time.

- 4.7 The original intent was to issue the RECCo, DCUSA and BSC consultation on 06 October however, the Authority had since requested that more impact analysis be carried out on P441 which has resulted in a three to six-month delay.
- 4.8 The Chair explained that the delay should not have a material impact to the approach that DCP 424 is looking to undertake asides from delaying its consultation and implementation.
- 4.9 The Chair explained that the DCUSA Working Group for DCP 424 will continue to develop their consultation as normal and pause on sending it to industry until P441 is ready.
- 4.10 The Chair noted that within the consultation for DCP 424, there are questions asking on what the potential MHHS consequential impacts are and any potential solutions for these impacts. The responses to these questions will be shared with the DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group to aid the development of the solution for the consequential MHHS change.
- 4.11 It was agreed within the Working Group that whilst having some visibility of DCP 424 now had some value, it would be best to wait on receipt of the consultation responses and its agreed solution before the DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group started to consider developing a solution for the future consequential change.

5. A.O.B

- 5.1 It was agreed that there would be no MHHS Stakeholder Group meeting for 09 October 2023 as none was needed.
- 5.2 It was agreed to wait before cancelling the meeting on 16 October to see if there were any response from the RECCo based on the answers they'd received from their feedback.

6. Attachments

- Attachment 1 DCUSA MHHS Transition RECCo Responses.

Appendix 1 – Actions Log

New and Open Actions – (Open/Closed Session) or (Board)

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
12/1	Update the DCUSA consequential change legal text after the Stakeholder Groups review.	John Lawton	New Action

Closed – (Open/Closed Session) or (Board)

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
1.1	Update slide pack with correct DCUSA logo	John Lawton	Closed
1.2	Create a strawman version of the changes required for items 1, 2, 3 and 4 for the Stakeholder to begin a review	Peter Waymont	Closed
3.1	AG to send Stakeholder Group the updated legal text for schedules 8, 16, 32 and 33	Andy Green	Closed
3.2	The Stakeholder Group to review the updated legal text for schedules 8, 16, 32 and 33 prior to the next Stakeholder Group meeting on 22-02-2023.	All	Closed

3.1	AG to send Stakeholder Group the updated legal text for schedules 8, 16, 32 and 33	Andy Green	Closed
4.1	AG to update the legal text from DCP 415 into the draft legal text.	Andy Green	Closed
5.1	AG to speak with the MHHS programme and the REC to establish if SSCs and TPRs will be retained and if the LMA process can link into this	Andy Green	Closed
6.1	AG to request SSC/TPR data off distributors with demand-controlled areas and share with RECCo	Andy Green	Closed
7.1	AG to send the RECCo the questions posed by the Stakeholder Group	Andy Green	Closed
7.2	AG to set up Doodle Poll to agree the next meeting date once the RECCo had answer the questions posed in meeting 07	Andy Green	Closed
9.1	AG to raise a request at the next closed panel session to send final agendas only for the weekly meetings	Andy Green	Closed
7.2	AG to set up Doodle Poll to agree the next meeting date once the RECCo had answer the questions posed in meeting 07	Andy Green	Closed